In The Matter Of:

Resolution Copper Project and Land Exchange Draft EIS

Public Hearing

Public Comments
September 17, 2019



2398 East Camelback Road, Suite 260 Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Original File SWCA-WAT-091719 SWCA091719 091719 USFS CORRECTED.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

RESOLUTION COPPER PROJECT AND
LAND EXCHANGE DRAFT EIS
PUBLIC HEARING

Ray Elementary School Cafeteria 701 AZ 177 Kearny, Arizona

PUBLIC COMMENTS

September 17, 2019 6:24 p.m.

REPORTED BY: TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50876

PREPARED FOR:
U.S. Forest Service

(Certified Copy)

			2
1	PUBLIC COMMENTS		
2	SPEAKER:	PAGE:	
3	Michael Gettens	. 3	
4	Leslie Glass	. 5	
5	Roy C. Chavez	. 7	
6	Jim Ohl	. 8	
7	Anna Jeffrey	10	
8	Henry Munoz, Senior	. 12	
9	David Herrera	. 14	
10	Roger Featherstone	16	
11	Joe Villegas	18	
12	Leslie Glass	20	
13	Roy C. Chavez	21	
14	Joe Villegas	. 23	
15	Jim Ohl	. 24	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MS. GRAMS: So we will start with Number 8.

2.3

MICHAEL GETTENS: Thank you. My name is Michael Gettens, M-i-c-h-a-e-l G-e-t-t-e-n-s, and I am a resident of Queen Creek, Arizona, which is not too far from here.

and the employees of the mining company, I am an opponent of the mine completely, but my comment specifically to the Draft EIS is that environmental assessment has not been completed yet for this area of Skunk Camp 6, which we're just a stone's throw away from, and there are actually people living here, unlike what the video said.

So my specific concern is -- and due to a community that's been a long running community on Dripping Springs Road -- it's called Wind Spirit Community, and I would like to request a full assessment of what's going to be destroyed in this area, especially if the water quality is affected.

I know that the video did say that this is the least impactful site to put the waste. You know, it's already a lot of mines in the area. Personally, my opinion is that we should be regenerating this area as best we can rather than continuing to, you know, destroy it. I would like to see this area get better over time rather than continue to decline in its health of the landscape.

So Wind Spirit Community, if you guys can assess

the value of that land to make sure that it is compensated for, and all the members of that community can be given back their -- all the labor and all the materials that they've used to build that community over the next 50 years so that they can rebuild eventually somewhere else when their home is destroyed by this tailings site in Skunk Camp.

2.3

And I encourage everybody who's going to be affected by this Skunk Camp North to have your land assessed.

Make sure it's appraised. What is the value of this land, you know, out here? It's priceless. But we need to put a number on it. We need to make sure that Rio Tinto is compensating everyone here that's going to be affected by it.

So you can find Wind Spirit Community at windspiritcommunity.org. Their website is info@windspiritcommunity.org. Their address is 4514 East Dripping Springs Road in Winkelman, and the ZIP is 85192.

I'm not a member of that community, but it has a really important part in my heart. So I definitely want to do all I can to protect that land. And I don't think we should put the tailings somewhere else. I don't think there should be any tailings from Oak Flat, because everybody who I've talked to about this project sees that it is not viable as a solution for stimulating Arizona's economy. Our economy is about the environment. It's about people coming here to see the beauty of this land, and let's keep it that way and keep making it better.

Thank you.

2.3

MS. GRAMS: Number 3.

LESLIE GLASS: Hello. I'm Leslie Glass. I live in Tucson, Arizona, and I am here because you would not hold the meeting in Tucson as requested.

First I would like to acknowledge that we are gathered here tonight on traditional Apache territory, and I have my notes all typed up of exactly I was going to say, but I had too many things that came to mind. One was watching the presentation and the history of the mining here. It started in 1850, if I recall. Started in 1850, the mining. And I would just like to let you know, I don't know if you're aware, but by 1878, nearly all Apache territory and land had been taken. Just think about that for a minute.

I'm going to go back to my comments.

One of the supporting documents that was cited in the Draft EIS is the Tonto National Forest Management Plan.

It's dated October 1985. This 34-year-old document states, in Public Issues and Management Concerns, Water Quality and Quantity: "Demand for water use on and off the Forest Service exceeds the supply. Some impacts on other resources are anticipated if water yield is increased. Forest management activities have the potential to significantly alter water quality. Physical, chemical and biological qualities of water can limited its uses. Currently, isolated pollution problems on

and off Forest produce conflicts with water uses."

2.3

As stated in my prior EIS comments of July 2016, I asked questions that I never received a response on, so I'm going to ask them again.

First, I'd like to know when the draft -- 2007

Draft Forest Service Management Plan is going to be completed.

Resolution Copper mine is going to consume over 600,000 acre-feet of water during the life of the mine. How is this sustainable given that in 1985, "Water Quality and Quantity: Demand for water use on and off the Forest exceeds the supply"? What -- what's changed that we can afford to give our water away to Resolution Copper?

Resolution Copper General Procedure GPO indicates that the mine will be heavily reliant, 62 percent, on banked CAP water. Central Arizona Project water. How is this sustainable when communities across the state depend on CAP water? RCM will be drawing from all available water resources, including plans to drill 30 water wells. They're already destroying Oak Flat with the water.

The earth fissures are heartbreaking. The plant life there is clearly stressed. I am concerned about future drought. Arizona water quality and quantity are already poor and limited. A water problem of immense scope and consequence as the deserts stay in a climate change crisis, we must be conservative and do everything we can to protect the water for

future life.

2.3

MS. GRAMS: Number 6.

ROY C. CHAVEZ: Good evening. My name is Roy C. Chavez, R-o-y C. C-h-a-v-e-z. I'm a third-generation lifelong resident of Superior, a former four-term mayor, and I served several years as town manager for the community of Superior. I've worked in the mining industry with Hecla Mining, Kennecott, here in Ray and in Hayden. I worked for Magma Copper in Superior, and more recently, I worked for BHP until they shut down in '96.

The DEIS review paints a very bleak picture for the economic and social and environmental impact to Superior, as referenced by the current mayor's comments in last month's Superior Sun, and I would agree with it.

I represent, as chairperson and spokesman,

Concerned Citizens & Retired Miners Coalition in Superior, we've

been battling this for a dozen years or more, and let me share

with you that my concern today about the EIS is that this should

have been done several years ago.

The NEPA process is the lawful method of assessing the conveyance of public lands to the private sector. And the majority and main interest of that study is to determine if the public lands in the interaction of that sale or acquisition to the private sector will be in the best interest of the public, not of special interest legislation and greed,

and that's what we have here in this project, ladies and gentlemen.

Today, as we speak, we're finding out there's some faults in this project. There's some deception that's been used throughout the last decade. A few of us hung in there, and we were fortunate enough to defeat this legislation, this special interest legislation for about a dozen years. It never went to the floor of the House or the Senate for actual affirming vote. It wasn't until the special interest legislation was tied to the National Defense Fund back in 2014-'15 by the late Senator John McCain.

I want to share with you that as of July 14th, 2019, Rio Tinto, the mother company, announced to Bloomberg Financial that they were holding off with a Resolution project in Superior. They were concentrating on a new mining operation in Australia and their current operation in Mongolia, which they have been suffering in regards to financial conditions and operations. In that project, Mongolia receives -- the government receives 34 percent of the revenue. I share with you we're getting nothing but a doughnut of pain and promises. The only factual thing here is the destruction of the environment.

MS. GRAMS: Number 4.

JIM OHL: Thank you. My name is Jim Ohl, J-i-m O-h-l. My wife and I have a small ranch over on Highway 77, which is south of this project.

The main reason I'm here and so many of our neighbors are worried about what's going on is because we all get our water from the Dripping Springs Wash, which is at the top of this project. And so all of us, from the project, all the way down to the Gila River, our wells are either in the wash or within a couple hundred feet of the wash, and our water level where we're at is 57 feet down, folks. That's a shallow well. And the depth of most of our wells are 100 feet. So if anybody starts pumping that thing out, it won't be too long before it's empty.

2.3

I came from California, where I saw a vineyard industries pump water out for their vineyards and take our wells down 100 feet. People had to redrill their wells, and the vineyard people told us to go pound sand, and that's something I don't want to have happen here.

Now, if the water does get contaminated in that wash or under that wash, you can't uncontaminate it. You're toast. You're screwed, plain and simple.

The thing about this presentation that made me -that did not make me feel warm and fuzzy was the statement that
this project's more resilient to mishap. Well, that would
bother me a lot if it's my water.

Anyway, I was told by the hydrologist that we have one -- that they're using one water well for their baseline of their water specs. What I propose that would probably make

us feel a lot whole better for us getting our water out of it is that they take and test -- have a well on somebody's property every mile to the Gila River. Now, this wash goes directly to the Gila River, about 11 miles south of this project. If they have one well every mile, have it checked four times a year by an independent lab to EPA specs, which are stronger than the state specs on water quality -- way stronger -- so if they would do that, that would probably make a whole bunch of us feel a whole lot more comfortable about this project.

But that's just one part of the project. We don't know the rest of it. Water quality's the big thing that jumps to everybody's mind, and if you're using your water, for instance, to do fields or where that grass is going to go to cattle that get sold to the public, you don't want your water to have heavy metals in it that can contaminate your cattle, that can contaminate the public. I've had heavy metal poisoning, and it's no fun. It's cumulative, sets in your joints, and it takes forever to get out. And once you've got it, again, you're kind of screwed.

20 So anyway, that's all I had to say. Thank you very much.

ANNA JEFFREY: My name is Anna Jeffrey from Superior.

The EIS, when I look at it, when I'm looking
through it, it gives me a headache, and it makes me literally

want to cry. It really does.

2.3

What I want to talk about really is what's here and what would be gone forever. You know, when I drove over here from Superior, I'm looking at the back of Apache Leap, and I know the trails, and I know where the Apaches used to come down the mountain, down Apache Leap, and where they'd go up, even on their horses, because they wouldn't have to climb. I know those trails. I know all the way to Hackberry Creek and down into the Gun Canyon (phonetic), and then all the way over here, Battle Axe Road and the White Canyon and the springs, the springs that had been rerouted for whatever reason, and all of the mining claims you see everywhere.

We live in a very special place here. It's a beautiful, beautiful, beautiful place, and if we don't stop the mining that's going on right now, it's going to continue to destroy all that we have left. You know, I looked at the -- oh, God. Yeah. When I go past Ray mine, I just have to look away, you know, and I imagine what it used to look like. They show pictures there of Sonora, when it used to be there. The town's gone now.

One thing I constantly do is take a lot, a lot, a lot of photographs of the area, because we've got to keep a record of what was once here, and I'm hoping to God that -- and I pray to God that that we don't destroy that, and it -- that you guys look at this, where we are, and know it and realize

that is what's important here. It's more important -- the water, the wildlife, the beautiful, all of it, it's sacred, and it's valuable recreationally. People want to come here to get a taste of the Wild West and see the cactus, the saguaros and things that they don't see other places. But if the mining continues, we're just going to be one big huge hole, and all the wildlife, plants, everything will be dead because of the water poisoned and gone.

And I've got 30 seconds, 29, 28, yeah, but that's the countdown right there, and if you think about it, it's a countdown to us being able to save what we have here and remember, just to look out there and see it.

Thank you.

2.

HENRY MUNOZ, SENIOR: Henry Munoz, Senior. First of all, good evening, folks. My name -- I was born and raised in Superior. Five generations of mining. So there's mining in my blood. I worked eight years in Superior cut and fill until they shut down, 1982. I worked in San Manuel for 13 years until 1999 when that shut down. I have two years of tunnel bore mining experience with the SAC (phonetic) project for Department of Transportation.

My main concern about this is when I heard the project was water. Water's probably the most important thing in our society right now. People say blood's thicker than water, but without water, you do not have blood. As you heard

Mr. Brian Bosworth, Forest Service, said, this is the most complex project that he's ever been associated with.

2.3

My concern was referring to Chapter 4, under Consulting Parties in the Draft EIS. It pertains to the United States Geological Survey, a science bureau with the Department of the Interior. They declined to participate.

Okay. And I'm just going to give you a rundown of what USGS does. Provides science about the natural hazards that threaten lives and livelihood, the water, energy, minerals and other natural resources we rely on. Also the health of our ecosystem to the environment and the impacts of climate and land use change.

Without having USGS on board with this project, it's like somebody telling you they're going to build the tallest building in the world, and they're not going to have any structural engineers on site. Okay?

No block -- this blockade method, it's never been blockaded at this depth before anywhere in the world. Why can't we do cut and fill, which was done in Superior prior to its closing in 1982?

Twelve years ago I had a discussion with Dr. Robert McNish, a renowned expert on desert Sonoran water. He worked on the Dos Pobres land exchange project up in Safford. He was a professor at the U of A. He tells me, "Henry" -- this is 12 years ago, mining -- "there's going to be a big issue with

the water, and the tribes are going to sue for their fair share of CAP allotment. They're going to win. They're going to win.

And you know what? They're going to have the keys. Water is going to be the new oil." Arizona gets 2.8 million CAP

acre-feet of water. The tribes were awarded 1.4.

2.3

So you have cities like Chandler buying water from Gila River Indian Tribe. \$43 million. You have the City of Gilbert buying \$30 million from the San Carlos Apache Tribe. Water is the new oil, and there's not enough water around to where people now are buying it, and they're storing it for future development. California's interested in buying our water from the Native tribes now.

The other thing that really bothered me was that we have Superstition Vista coming online. 1.2 million people within 20 years are going to be living between Apache Junction and Florence Junction, just south of Highway 60. We have this mine project to the east of us, and we have Superstition Vista. Poor Superior's in the middle. What are we going to do?

DAVID HERRERA: Hello. My name is David Herrera, H-e-r-r-e-r-a. I'm a resident of Kearny, but I was born and raised in Superior.

Thank you very much. God bless.

And after reading the environmental impact study, I found that I had a lot of concerns that -- but even after reading it and the nebulous things that the draft says that they're going to possibly do, you know, doesn't give me much assurance. And then when you look at the fact that who is the head of the U.S. Department of Agriculture? Sonny Perdue, who just got rid of all these climate scientists because they don't agree that our environment is going bad, and so his option is to get rid of these people that are writing scientific papers within the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

And so I kind of wonder -- a lot of this report was boilerplate. It had nothing substantive to it, and the more you read about it, and you're realizing the only reason that Sonny Perdue is going to let these people from U.S. Department of Agriculture to remain at the U.S. Department of Agriculture is because they're doing a great service for Resolution Copper.

You know, if I was -- I've got the copies of the Environmental Impact Study, and if I was trying to buy a car -- these are four giant volumes, you know, but it's like buying a car. Nobody gives you four volumes to read about something without giving you very specific ideas, you know. But they're going to sell us a car that doesn't have tires, and the motor's not the best, and you know, there's just a lot of problems. And the more you look at it, the more you realize that this is a show, a show that USDA is doing for Resolution Copper.

The object of this game is that -- it's not -- it's not the copper. It's the water that Superior represents.

Within a 10-mile radius of Superior, you get the most amount of

water fall than any other location. We get four times the amount of water falling right there. Then when you realize that Resolution has a 7-foot -- a 7,000 foot giant straw, and they're sucking up the water from the deeper water table, going all the way to Miami, and so they're pumping it out, sending it down to the valley, and the big losers are going to be people in these small rural towns, because they're going to build this big society up there, and we're not going to have any water left.

Thank you.

2.3

ROGER FEATHERSTONE: Hi. I'm Roger Featherstone, Director of the Arizona Mining Reform Coalition. R-o-g-e-r F-e-a-t-h-e-r-s-t-o-n-e.

We are -- I lost my page here. We feel that this DEIS is deficient and needs to be withdrawn and redone for a number of different reasons. I'll just go through a few right now.

The original location that's shown over there, the big blue blob on the concerned citizens 3D models is the original location for the tailings, and when that was proposed in the plan of operations, Rio Tinto swore on a stack of Bibles that was the best thing since sliced bread. It was this amazing location. Perfect. Then they did geocharacterization of the site, and they found that the ground was more fractured than they thought, and the water table was higher than they thought. Oops. So now we have this preferred alternative at Skunk Camp

that, again, is the best thing since sliced bread, but there's been no geotechnical testing of that location. So how do they know? And what happens when they do that testing that they find out that it also isn't suitable?

2.3

I want to talk about dam safety. It's important to understand that all four alternatives in this DEIS would be illegal in the countries of Chile and Brazil. Why is it in this day and age in the United States of America we could possibly think of proving a dam that would hold a billion -- 1.3 billion tons of toxic waste that would not be legal in a South American country? If the dam at Skunk Camp that's proposed for this tailings facility were to fail, more than a billion tons of waste would reach the town -- the people living in Dripping Springs within 16 minutes. Where is there anything in the DEIS that talks about how those people would be warned? Would be evacuated? What would happen to their health and safety, you know, living below such a structure as this?

And finally, for now, in water, why does Rio

Tinto think they can use 10 percent of the average of Arizona

mines for production without using any new mining techniques?

Rio Tinto says they'll use far less water than anybody else, but somehow they will do it without any new techniques. Is this some kind of a voodoo magic or something? I just don't understand that.

So thank you.

MICHAEL GETTENS: What would be the total water use if they use the full amount?

2.3

ROGER FEATHERSTONE: The same amount of water as the City of Tempe uses. At least 590,000 acre-feet, according to the DEIS.

MS. GRAMS: Okay. So that's everyone that has previously signed up to speak. So at this time we would open it up to anyone else who hasn't spoken here at the hearing to -- if you'd like to take the opportunity to provide a comment now, you may.

Okay. Looks like no takers for that. So we will allow those who -- please.

JOE VILLEGAS: Hi. My name is Joe Villegas, and
I live in Dudleyville.

The thing that I was going to say is this mining project that they want to do up there at Oak Flats, the thing that they -- I don't know if they considered it or not, but there's a lot of fault lines through there. A lot of them. And the thing of it is if they're going with their blasting and doing what they want to recover, what's going to happen is they're going to lose the water. They're going to hit a fault line, and the water's going to go down, and you ain't going to have no water. All the way from the mines, Copper Creek, all of those places, they ain't going to have no water, nothing at all.

I've seen it happen in other places. I've seen

the drilling that they did. I've seen them where they had it going on a fault line. Took them two and a half months to fill that fault line up with ore so they could get their machine in there. I seen all that happen. I worked in the mines. I know what can happen, what don't, and Oak Flats, as far as I'm concerned with it, it was a place where all the plant life and everything that's there -- there's plant life there -- medicine for being diabetic. There's plant life there for cancer and other -- and for your skin cancer, all of those plants up there. There's different plants for different things up there. That's how come the only people that did live up there, that's what they would survive with, with all the vegetation and everything that was there.

2.3

When I was a little kid, there used to be a stream up there running with water. There's no water no more. And then the water that they're pumping down to the valley and stuff like that, that hot water there is created by the rocks getting hot by lava, and it's heating the water, and that's how come they got the hot water coming out up there.

There's a lot of other places around in this area from the Aravaipa, from Mammoth and all over, that there is hot springs all over, and that's all done by volcano. That's underneath us, and it's heating up the water and it comes out. I've seen a lot of those places.

And as far as Oak Flats, I hate to see it get

destroyed.

2.3

That's all I got to say about it.

MS. GRAMS: Okay. So anyone else who hasn't spoken that would like to take a turn?

Okay. So we would open up to those who had previously spoken. If you would like to provide additional comment in three minute increments, you're welcome to do so.

LESLIE GLASS: I would like to. Leslie Glass,
L-e-s-l-i-e G-l-a-s-s.

I'm not good at public speaking, as you can probably tell. I've got pages and pages of DEIS notes. But what I really, really want to talk about here tonight, too, is also the alternative preferred site of Dripping Springs and its cultural significance to the Apache people and Native Americans of this area and the region.

These mitigation strategies and the exchange for loss of the resources are incomparable in value. The traditional cultural properties that will be irreversibly damaged and the permanent changes that affect the ability of tribal members and non-Natives like myself to use this area for religious purposes is incomprehensible.

For many Native people, without doubt, Oak Flat is a spiritually powerful place, as well as all of the alternative sites. The sacredness of the spiritual place cannot not be denied through the creation of controversy or plans that

it is not, and the desecration of any place where spiritual connection to God is made cannot be treated, mitigated or exchanged for anything of equal value. One cannot place a value on the creation of God, nor can one quantify or articulate human damage and the loss that will be suffered. On the spiritual level, it is unimaginable.

I know the inconsolable heartache and grief and anger that I felt over the hate crime that happened at Oak Flat at the four crosses, that holy ground. I cannot bear the thought of the decimation of Oak Flat, because this is where I was touched by spirit of God after a lifetime of searching for that in churches. I cannot begin to imagine the trauma and pain this loss will bring upon my indigenous brothers and sisters, as it will be a thousand times worse than my own.

I have 53 seconds. I would like to say I have been a USDA employee for 27 years. I -- my heart goes out to you, to the people of the Forest Service, because I know what they're dealing with. Comes from the top down, and you do what you're told. That's just the way it is. I retired so I can fight this battle, and I have dedicated my life to fighting it throughout Arizona.

Thank you.

ROY C. CHAVEZ: Roy Chavez from Superior.

I just want to thank Forest Service for putting this together, the public hearings that are required. There

will be one on Thursday in Globe, I think at Desert -- Desert

Mountain or something like that.

2.3

AUDIENCE MEMBER: High Desert.

ROY C. CHAVEZ: High Desert. So it will be the same time, the same setup, if you'd like to participate in that. And there will be another scheduled for Queen Valley.

But I just wanted to remind you all that the volumes that people are talking about here of the studies are just -- they're humongous, and we're asking for a continuance of the -- we're asking for an extension of the community comment period. And so this is an opportunity for all people, not those of us that live in the mining region all of our lives, but everywhere in this country, because the legislation as presented and the movement forward on this legislation sets a hell of a precedent for the acquisition of public lands, people.

And it just -- as I said before, the NEPA process is something that is legally set up to handle this and answer the questions of the character of the project in the best interest of the public. So elected officials could make that determination with this information that we're gathered with today, and I guarantee you that any senator or representative from five to ten years ago had no clue what this information was going to be like, I guarantee you, including the honorable Senator McCain.

I just want to share with you, the concerned

citizens. We have these 3D scale models that are to scale by the mining plan of operation that was submitted by Resolution Copper. We invite you to come over and take a look at these models, because the placards and the posters and everything are fine, but this is an actual drawdown of the 3D concept of the land that would be impacted. Unfortunately, we do not have a Skunk Camp site, because that is an alternative, but we do have the size of the tailings in different perspectives that will go somewhere if this project gets approved. Again, welcome you to come by and talk with us. Feel free to answer -- ask any questions you'd like, and we'll try to do the best to address them, but please come and visit us. Take a look at these models that we've had for -- we've been invited by Forest Service for other public meetings to show these models.

Thank you, guys.

2.3

JOE VILLEGAS: You know my name. Joe Villegas.

Out at Copper Creek, up that way by Mammoth, they went in up by the old mine site, and there was this one mountain where they found a lot of ore, but it was way too deep to mine it. So what they were going to do is going to go in and drill and -- and it -- blow it up and extract the copper. And the way they were going to extract the copper, they put acid in the ground where they dynamite -- dynamited at, and that water was supposed to run down to one of their ponds that they had with the acid that they put. They said, "No. We won't get no acid

in Copper Creek." There was acid in Copper Creek three miles down from there. It turned gray. It turned green, the water, and it looked like turquoise, and that's the way -- that's the way it was from then when they mined up there, and basically, the same thing that how they want to mine here, that's what's going to happen up there, besides losing the water.

Thank you.

2.

MICHAEL GETTENS: Do you know of any other chemicals they're going to be using?

JOE VILLEGAS: I know it was acid that they used for using -- to pipe down here to Copper Creek, and it was supposed to go to this one particular area, and nothing wasn't supposed to get into the Copper Creek. Well, it did go into Copper Creek, because we seen it. The animals couldn't drink it. None of the birds, deer, none of those couldn't even drink the water anymore. They could drink it higher up, but far down below, they couldn't drink it. Even the people in Mammoth, they couldn't drink their water or anything. They had to buy water. And their teeth would turn brown. I know that, too. But this is all what everybody has to look from first before they do anything, and I know.

MICHAEL GETTENS: Thank you.

JIM OHL: Hi. I'm Jim Ohl again, and the reason
I'm back up here again is because one of the fellow speakers
brought up a point that I had never even thought about, and that

was the dam that holds all this stuff in place.

A couple years ago I was speaking with a fellow that I met who was a vulcanologist, and he and a team of five people had done a study over a five- to seven-year period of the peaks on the other side of Highway 77, going up over the hill to Globe. And he said that according to their studies, that some of those peaks had risen 37 feet over a five-year period. Now, those peaks that he was talking about are within sight of this project. When you're up there, you can see the project site.

And also, I get around that area a lot, and I know a lot of people up there. One of the old fellows that's 80-some years old that still goes to all the mines -- he walks every day, and he goes up to the mines, all the way almost up to that site, and he was telling me -- he's an old Spanish fellow -- and he was telling me that one of the mines he goes in up there, he says, "Oh, I cannot go in there very far." I said, "Well, how come?" His name's Chuy. I said, "How come, Chuy?" He said, "Well, I go in there a little ways," he said, "there's sulfur smoke coming out of the mine, coming out of there. It's a vent. It's a volcanic vent, and it gets a lot of sulphur gas coming up out of that vent." And he says, "I can't go in there." He says, "I'll die."

The main thing I'm bringing that up for is have any of these people at Resolution or some of you folks at Forest Service or whatever, has anybody taken into account the

possibility of a seismic situation caused by volcanic action?

Because if this old Spanish fellow has been around here for

50-some years, finds a fumarole vent in one of the mines up in
that area, then who says there's not a possibility of something
happening?

In a situation like this with a dam face that's holding back that much waste, we can't afford the slightest bit of miscalculation, because I live downstream, and so do a lot of the people in this room live downstream. So maybe checking for -- you know, with vulcanologists and people like that who've had situations or studies, find out if there is a problem with this. Because I'm not so much against the project. I'm against the possibility of it failing, and there's a heck of a lot of difference, you know, and that's just what I wanted to bring up. Somebody needs to mention these things so that they can be addressed if they have not already been addressed, and something like that, I suspect, maybe has not been addressed.

Thank you very much for your time.

(Hearing comments concluded at 7:06 p.m.)