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## FILTER PLANT AND TAILINGS FACILITY ALTERNATIVES RESOLUTION COPPER MINE PROJECT TRAFFIC TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

## Project Description

As part of the alternatives analysis for the Resolution Copper Mine Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), an alternative filter plant location and tailings storage facilities (TSF) are being considered for detailed analysis. The locations of the alternative filter plant and tailings locations are shown in Figure 1.

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to:

- Evaluate the current and future operational characteristics of the adjacent roadway network surrounding the alternative sites.
- Compare the traffic generation associated with the alternate filter plant location and the alternative TSF locations with traffic generation in the Resolution Copper Mine Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA), dated 13 April 2017 and completed by Southwest Traffic Engineering, LLC.
- Analyze traffic operations at the existing intersections serving the proposed alternatives for the existing conditions, peak construction year (2022), and opening year of regular operations (2027). These are the same study years analyzed in the TIA.
- Determine the need for auxiliary (left and right turn) lanes at the existing intersections that will serve the proposed alternatives.

The author of this report is a registered professional engineer (civil) in the State of Arizona having specific expertise and experience in the preparation of traffic impact analyses in support of Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) and Federal Highway National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) projects.

## Study Methodology

In order to analyze and evaluate the potential traffic impacts of the proposed development, the following tasks were undertaken:

- Field observation of the proposed site and surrounding area was conducted to evaluate the existing physical and operational characteristics of the adjacent roadway network.
- Site traffic volumes generated by the multiple TSF alternatives during peak construction and peak daily operations were estimated based on employment information provided by Resolution Copper.
- Calculated site traffic was distributed based on distribution assignments calculated for the TIA.
- Capacity analyses were performed for the existing conditions and future conditions with and without the project based on a peak construction year of 2022 and a peak operations year of 2027 .
- The need for auxiliary turn lanes at the study intersections was evaluated based on the ADOT Traffic Engineering Guidelines and Processes (TGP) Section 245 - Turn Lane Warrant, dated June 2015.

Figure 1 - Filter Plant and Tailings Facility Alternatives Vicinity Map
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## Existing Conditions and Alternative Filter Plant and Tailings Storage Facilities

## Locations

The five TSF alternatives are located at one of four sites near Superior, Arizona. A description of each site and the key roads that use them are outlined below.

## No Action - Alternative 1

Alternative 1 proposes that no tailings facility is constructed. This could be a result of the mine not being approved.

## Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

TSF Alternatives 2 and 3 are proposed near Superior, Arizona at the Near West Location. Alternatives 2 and 3 will be located at the Near West Site north of United States Route 60 (US 60).

United States Route 60 (US 60) is an undivided two-lane roadway that has an east/west alignment and a posted speed limit of between 45 miles per hour (mph), 50 mph and 65 mph in the project area. The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) facility generally has no curb, gutter or sidewalks provided in the area. The US 60 is considered a regional route in the area linking Superior, Miami, and Globe to the Phoenix metropolitan area. Between Silver King Mine Road (FS Road 229) and State Route 177 (SR 177) there is an existing two-way left turn lane on US 60.

Hewitt Station Road is an unpaved roadway with a northeast/southeast alignment. Hewitt Station Road is considered to be north/south aligned at its intersection with US 60 for the purposes of this report. Although unpaved, the roadway is wide enough to provide one through lane in each direction of travel. Overhead utilities are present on the west side of Hewitt Station Road. The posted speed limit on Hewitt Station Road is 25 mph .

The study intersection locations, lane configurations, and intersection control for Alternative 2 and 3 are shown in Figure 2.

## Silver King Location - Alternative 4

TSF Alternative 4 will be located at the Silver King location north of Superior, Arizona and will be primarily served by US 60 .

Silver King Mine Road is an unpaved roadway with a north/south alignment. The road is named Apache Tear Road south of US 60. Although unpaved, the roadway is wide enough to provide one through lane for each direction of travel.

The study intersection locations, lane configurations, and intersection control for Alternative 4 are shown in Figure 3.

## 暖

Figure 2 - Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3
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## Figure 3 - Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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------ = Existing Road, Unpaved

## Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

The Peg Leg TSF facility will be used for TSF alternative 5. The Florence-Kelvin Highway will provide access to the Peg Leg facility from State Route 79 (SR 79) and State Route 177 (SR 177).

SR 79, designated as Pinal Pioneer Parkway, is a north/south aligned two-lane highway between Oracle Junction, Arizona and United States Route 60 (US 60). SR 79 serves as an alternate route between Tucson, Florence, and Phoenix. The roadway provides one through lane in each direction of travel. Overhead utilities parallel the west side of SR 79 near the study area. The speed limit on SR 79, near the Florence-Kelvin Highway is 65 miles per hour (mph).

SR 177 is a north/south aligned two-lane highway between State Route 77 (SR 77) and US 60. It is considered a spur road of SR 77 that serves the Arizona towns of Hayden, Kearny, Kelvin, Riverside, Superior, and Winkelman. The roadway offers one through lane in each direction of travel. Overhead utilities parallel the east side of SR 177, north of the FlorenceKelvin Highway. The posted speed limit on SR 177 is 55 mph .

The Florence-Kelvin Highway is an east/west aligned two-way road between SR 79 near Florence, Arizona and SR 177 near Kelvin, Arizona. Traveling east from SR 79, the Florence-Kelvin Highway is paved for twelve miles, unpaved for eighteen miles, and paved again for two miles as the roadway approaches SR 177. All of the Florence-Kelvin Highway, paved and unpaved, is wide enough to accommodate one through lane in each direction of travel. The posted speed limit on the Florence-Kelvin Highway is 50 mph .

It should be noted that near the intersection of the Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177, a branch of the Copper Basin Railway parallels the east side of the Florence-Kelvin Highway about 40 feet east of the roadway.

The study intersection locations, lane configurations, and intersection control for Alternative 5 are shown in Figure 4.

## Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

The Skunk Camp TSF is located east of the Ray Mine between SR 177 and State Route 77 (SR 77). Skunk Camp will have access to SR 77 from Dripping Springs Road.

SR77 is a north/south aligned two-lane highway between Interstate 10 in Tucson, Arizona and the Navajo Nation north of Holbrook, Arizona. This route serves as a major connecting route between Tucson, Globe, and Show Low in eastern Arizona. The roadway offers one through lane in each direction of travel. The posted speed limit near Dripping Springs Road is 50 mph .

Dripping Springs Road is an east/west aligned unpaved roadway near SR 77. The roadway is unpaved, but wide enough to offer one through lane in each direction of travel.

The study intersection locations, lane configurations, and intersection control for Alternative 6 are shown in Figure 5.

Figure 4 - Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


Figure 5 - Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6


## Existing Traffic Data

In order to form a basis for analysis of the project impacts for Alternative 5, Friday turning movement counts and Friday 24 -hour intersection approach counts were conducted at the intersections of Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 and Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177. The Friday turning movement counts were conducted from 7:00 AM to 10:00 PM. In addition, Friday 24-hour bi-directional traffic volume counts were taken on the FlorenceKelvin Highway at Peg Leg Road. All of the traffic counts were taken in March 2018 while school was in session.

Additionally, existing traffic volumes for Alternative 6 are based on ADOT 24-hour bidirectional traffic volume counts located near Christmas, Arizona (taken in 2017) and on Dripping Springs Road west of SR 77 (taken in 2018). It was assumed that ten percent of the total daily traffic on SR 77 and Dripping Springs Road occurs during the Friday peak hour. The assumed Friday peak hour traffic was then assigned to turning movements at the intersection of Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 based on the directional split observed in the counted daily traffic volumes.

Analyses of Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 are based on traffic counts from the Original TIA taken in November 2016.

To establish a consistent basis for the existing traffic operations within the study area, traffic projections were made for traffic counts taken before 2018. A review of ADOT historical traffic data in the vicinity of the project showed increasing and decreasing traffic volumes. A conservative $2 \%$ annual traffic growth rate was used to estimate traffic volumes, as needed, for an existing traffic volume study year 2018.

The existing Friday peak hour traffic volumes at key intersections near the various alternatives are shown in Figures 6 through 9. Complete traffic count data can be found in the Appendix.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation for the alternative filter plant and TSF alternatives, during peak construction and regular operations, was developed utilizing data provided by Resolution Copper. For the purpose of the analysis, a peak construction year of 2022 was assumed with an operational opening year of 2027. The TSF alternatives are as follows:

- Alternative 1 - No tailings facility.
- Alternative 2 (Modified Proposed Action) - This facility is located in the same footprint as the original General Plan of Operations (GPO) TSF at the Near West location. Tailings will be segregated into coarser underflow tailings to construct a modified centerline dam and overflow tailings at approximately $25 \%$ solids will be placed in the interior. Pyrite tailings will be placed sub-aqueously and decant water from the overflow tailings will be directed to the pyrite tailings area to help maintain saturation.

Figure 6 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3
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Figure 7 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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## Figure 8 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5
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Figure 9 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6
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- Alternative 3 (Modified Proposed Action - Thin Lift/Separate Potentially AcidGenerating (PAG) pyrite tailings cell) - This facility is located in the same footprint as Alternative 2 and the original GPO TSF at the Near West location. Tailings will be segregated into coarser underflow tailings to construct a modified centerline dam and overflow tailings will be thickened using high-density thickeners at approximately 60 to $62 \%$ solids and placed in the interior. Pyrite tailings will be managed separate to the rest of the facility, placed sub-aqueously, and contained in a separate ring dam with an approximate 10 -foot water cover over the top.
- Alternative 4 (Silver King) - The Silver King tailings facility is proposed at the south end of Silver King Canyon behind the West Plant Facility. Tailings would be pumped as a slurry to the TSF and then dried and placed as "dry-stacked" tailings. Potentially PAG would be stored separately from the not potentially acid-generating tailings (NPAG). No dam is required, but a structural zone would be placed around the outside of each TSF facility. In addition to the Silver King tailings facility, Alternative 4 also proposes relocation of the Filter Plant to Superior within Resolution Copper property boundaries at the West Plant Site.
- Alternative 5 (Peg Leg) - The Peg Leg tailings facility is located north of the FlorenceKelvin Highway near Peg Leg Road. Tailings will be segregated into coarser underflow tailings to construct a centerline dam and a separate downstream dam for containment of PAG that will managed sub-aqueously beneath a 10 -foot water cover. Overflow tailings will be thickened using high-density thickeners to achieve approximately 60 to $62 \%$ solids and placed in the interior.
- Alternative 6 (Skunk Camp) - The Skunk Camp tailings facility is located east of the Ray Mine and west of SR 77. Tailings will be segregated into coarser underflow tailings to construct a modified centerline cross-valley dam and overflow tailings will be thickened using high-density thickeners at approximately 60 to $62 \%$ solids and placed in the interior. PAG will be managed separate to the rest of the facility, placed subaqueously, and contained by a separate centerline cross-valley dam with an approximate 10 -foot water cover over the top.

It is assumed that none of the TSF alternatives significantly influence the trip generation of the originally proposed TSF or alternative filter plant. However, Alternative 4 requires more employees due to the additional processing facilities associated with filtering the tailings. The tailings filter plant for Alternative 4 would be located at the Silver King location.

The following trip generation assumptions are based on the original TIA:

- Due to the 12-hour shifts typical during construction and regular operations of the mine, employees are assumed to have on average, between 2 and 3 days off per week. Applying a 0.66 shift reduction factor accounts for the number of days per week an employee is predicted to travel to/from the site.
- It is assumed that every vehicle entering the site will carry an average of 1.7 employees. A 1.7 employee per vehicle carpooling factor was used to reduce the trips generated by the site as a result of employees carpooling.
- During the construction phase and operations phase, material deliveries are expected at a rate less than 11 trucks per hour.

Tables 1 and 2 show the expected trip generation for the Resolution Copper Filter Plant and TSF alternatives during the peak of construction and during peak operations. Employment data provided by Resolution Copper Mine, as well as the originally proposed trip generation from the TIA, can be found in the appendix of this memorandum.

## Table 1a - Alternative Filter Plant and Tailings Facility Trip Generation - Peak Construction

| Time Period | Alternative Filter Plant |  | Tailings Storage Facility Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 2 - Modified Wet |  | Alternative 3 - Modified Dry |  | Alternative 4 - Silver King |  |
|  | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment | Personnel | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Materials/ } \\ \text { Equipment } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment |
| Peak Hour, Inbound (vtph) | 30 | 8 | 21 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 33 | 11 |
| Peak Hour, Outbound (vtph) | 30 | 8 | 21 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 33 | 11 |
| Total Peak | 60 | 16 | 42 | 22 | 42 | 22 | 66 | 22 |

-Personnel trips based on anticipated number of workers with a . 66 shift reduction factor and a 1.7 divisor to account for carpooling. -Materials trips based on the materials and equipment quantities anticipated to be required during construction and a maximum of 11 trucks per hour. -vtpd - vehicle trips per day, vtph - vehicle trips per hour

## Table 1b - Alternative Filter Plant and Tailings Facility Trip Generation - Peak Construction, continued

| Time Period | Tailings Storage Facility Alternatives, continued |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alternative 5 Peg Leg |  | Alternative 6 Skunk Camp |  |
|  | Personnel | Materials/ Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ Equipment |
| Peak Hour, Inbound (vtph) | 22 | 11 | 21 | 11 |
| Peak Hour, Outbound (vtph) | 22 | 11 | 21 | 11 |
| Total Peak | 44 | 22 | 42 | 22 |

-Personnel trips based on anticipated number of workers with a . 66 shift reduction factor and a 1.7 divisor to account for carpooling.
-Materials trips based on the materials and equipment quantities anticipated to be required during construction and a maximum of 11 trucks per hour.
-vtpd - vehicle trips per day, vtph - vehicle trips per hour

Table 2a - Alternative Filter Plant and Tailings Facility Trip Generation - Peak Operations

| Time Period | Alternative Filter Plant |  | Tailings Storage Facility Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 2 - Modified Wet |  | Alternative 3 - Modified Dry |  | Alternative 4 - Silver King |  |
|  | Personnel | Materials/ Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ Equipment |
| Peak Hour, Inbound (vtph) | 9 | N/A | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 18 | 11 |
| Peak Hour, Outbound (vtph) | 9 | N/A | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 18 | 11 |
| Total Peak | 18 | N/A | 24 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 36 | 22 |

-Personnel trips based on anticipated number of workers with a . 66 shift reduction factor and a 1.7 divisor to account for carpooling.
-Materials trips based on the materials and equipment quantities anticipated to be required during construction and a maximum of 11 trucks per hour. -vtpd - vehicle trips per day, vtph - vehicle trips per hour

# Table 2 b - Alternative Filter Plant and Tailings Facility Trip Generation - Peak Operations, continued 

| Time Period | Tailings Storage Facility Alternatives, continued |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alternative 5 Peg Leg |  | Alternative 6 Skunk Camp |  |
|  | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment | Personnel | Materials/ <br> Equipment |
| Peak Hour, Inbound (vtph) | 22 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Peak Hour, Outbound (vtph) | 22 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Total Peak | 24 | 22 | 24 | 22 |

-Personnel trips based on anticipated number of workers with a . 66 shift reduction factor and a 1.7 divisor to account for carpooling.
-Materials trips based on the materials and equipment quantities anticipated to be required during construction and a maximum of 11 trucks per hour.
-vtpd - vehicle trips per day, vtph - vehicle trips per hour

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, Alternative 4 (Silver King) is expected to generate the most trips during peak construction and peak operations.

Alternatives 2 and 3 are located at the same site and are expected to have a similar number of generated trips. These alternatives will be analyzed together.

The alternative filter plant is expected to require approximately two train trips per day during peak operations to deliver materials for daily operation of the mine. These trains will arrive and depart during the night shift.

## Trip Distribution \& Assignment

Trip distribution for the alternatives were based on relative accessibility of cities and towns near the site that would be able to provide housing for construction workers and Resolution Copper Mine employees. In order to provide a conservative trip distribution, the Phoenix Metro, Globe, Superior, and Tucson Metro areas were assumed to provide workers during construction and regular operations. In reality, employees may also travel to and from other towns and cities within Gila and Pinal counties.

Figures 10 through 13 show the Friday trip distribution for the alternatives as a percentage of net new primary trips based on the trip distribution provided in the original TIA.

Figures 14 through 17 show the Friday peak hour traffic assignment of the TSF alternatives to the existing project intersections within their study areas during the peak of construction (2022).

Figures 18 through 21 show the Friday peak hour traffic assignment of the TSF alternatives to the existing project intersections within their study areas once the mine begins daily operations (2027).

Figure 10 - Friday Peak Hour Trip Distribution
Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3
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## Figure 11 - Friday Peak Hour Trip Distribution

 Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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Figure 12 - Friday Peak Hour Trip Distribution Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5
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Figure 13 - Friday Peak Hour Trip Distribution Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6
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Figure 14 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Skunk Camp Location - Alternatives 2 and 3
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Figure 15-2022 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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Figure 16 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment
Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5
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Figure 17 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6
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Figure 18 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3
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Figure 19 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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Figure 20 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment
Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


Figure 21 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Trip Assignment Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6
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## Existing Traffic Operations

Analysis of current intersection operations was conducted for the Friday peak hour using the nationally accepted methodology set forth in the Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2010 (HCM 2010). The computer software Synchro 10 was utilized to calculate the levels of service for individual movements and approaches.

LOS is a qualitative measure of the traffic operations at an intersection or on a roadway segment. Level of service is ranked from LOS A, which signifies little or no congestion and is the highest rank, to LOS F, which signifies congestion and jam conditions. LOS D is typically considered adequate operation at signalized and un-signalized intersections in developed areas.

At un-signalized intersections, level of service is predicted/calculated for those movements which must either stop for or yield to oncoming traffic and is based on average control delay for the particular movement. Control delay is the portion of total delay attributed to traffic control measures such as stop signs and traffic signals. The criteria for level of service at un-signalized intersections are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 - Level of Service Criteria - Un-Signalized Intersections

| Level-of-Service | Delay |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ seconds |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ seconds/vehicle |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ seconds/vehicle |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ seconds/vehicle |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ seconds/vehicle |
| F | $>50$ seconds per vehicle |

Tables 4 through 7 shows the existing levels of service that were calculated for each of the key study intersections adjacent to the alternatives. Complete capacity calculations are included in the Appendix.

Table 4 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound |  |  |
| Northbound Through/Right | A | 0.0 |
| Southbound Left/Through | B | 10.4 |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | B | 14.3 |
| Southbound Through/Right | B | 13.4 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 5 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| Silver King Road/US 60 |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A | 9.0 |
| Westbound Left | A | 8.6 |
| Northbound Left/Through/Right | C | 17.8 |
| Southbound Left/Through/Right |  |  |

Table 6 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left/Right | A | 9.8 |  |
| Southbound Left | A | 7.8 |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.1 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.5 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 7 - Existing Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 | A | 9.1 |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 7.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through |  |  |  |

In Alternative 5, the intersection of Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway is remote, unpaved, and only has approximately 50 cars per day using the major approach. Due to the very low volume nature of the major approaches, turning movement counts were not collected at this intersection and it was assumed that there are currently no vehicles on the minor approaches during the peak hours.

As shown in Tables 4 through 7, the existing study intersections adjacent to the alternatives currently operate at an adequate LOS C or better during the Friday peak hour.

## Future Traffic Operations Without Project

In order to assess the impacts of the project on future traffic operations, traffic projections were made for the peak construction year of 2022 and an assumed opening year of 2027.

A review of ADOT historical traffic data in the vicinity of the project showed increasing and decreasing traffic volumes. A conservative $2 \%$ annual traffic growth rate was used to estimate 2022 and 2027 Friday peak hour traffic volumes without the project for all of the alternatives, as shown in Figures 22 through 29.

As with the current volumes, levels of service were calculated for each of the key study intersections adjacent to the alternatives for 2022 and 2027 without the project as shown in Tables 8 through 15. Complete capacity calculations are included in the Appendix.

Table 8 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through/Right | A | 0.0 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through | B | 10.6 |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | C | 15.1 |  |
| Southbound Through/Right | B | 13.7 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 9-2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Silver King Road/US 60 |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A | 9.2 |  |
| Westbound Left | A | 8.7 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through/Right | C | 20.4 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through/Right | C | 19.6 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Figure 22 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3


## LEGEND:

$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
—__ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved
\#\#\#\# = Vehicles Per Day

## 䐍

Figure 23 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Silver King Location - Alternative 4


## LEGEND:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X X= \text { Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Tnips } \\
& \text { Per Hour } \\
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Figure 24 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


## LEGEND:

XX = Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
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------ = Existing Road, Unpaved
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Figure 25 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6


LEGEND:
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\end{aligned}
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Figure 26 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3


Closed

## 䐍

Figure 27 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Silver King Location - Alternative 4


## LEGEND:

$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
——_ Existing Road, Paved
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Figure 28 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Without Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


## LEGEND:

XX = Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
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Figure 29-2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Without Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6


Table 10 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS |  |
| Delay |  |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 |  |  |
| Westbound Left/Right | B | 10.1 |
| Southbound Left | A | 7.9 |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.3 |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.6 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 11 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.1 |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.4 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 12 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through/Right | A | 0.0 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through | B | 10.9 |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | C | 15.5 |  |
| Southbound Through/Right | B | 13.9 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 13 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Silver King Road/US 60 |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A | 9.5 |  |
| Westbound Left | A | 8.9 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through/Right | C | 24.6 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through/Right | C | 23.9 |  |

Table 14-2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection |  | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left/Right | B | 10.4 |  |
| Southbound Left | A | 7.9 |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 | A | 9.5 |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 7.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through |  |  |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 15 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service Without Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection | Friday Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.2 |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.4 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

As noted previously for Alternative 5, the intersection of Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway is remote, unpaved, and only has approximately 50 cars per day using the major approach. Due to the very low volume nature of the major approaches, turning movement counts were not collected at this intersection and it was assumed that there are currently no vehicles on the minor approaches during the peak hours.

As shown in Tables 8 through 15, the key study intersections adjacent to the alternatives are expected to continue operating at an adequate LOS in 2022 and 2027 without traffic from the project.

## Future Traffic Operations With Project

In order to assess the impacts of TSF Alternatives 2 through 6 on future traffic operations, levels of service were calculated for each study intersection for peak construction (2022) and peak operations (2027) of the TSF alternatives. Friday peak hour traffic volumes for 2022 and 2027 without the project were combined with the estimated trips generated by the corresponding proposed TSF alternative to yield Friday peak hour traffic volumes with the project as shown in Figures 30 through 37.

Friday peak hour intersection levels of service for 2022 and 2027, with the TSF alternatives, were then calculated for each of the key study intersections, as shown in Tables 16 through 23. Complete capacity calculations are included in the Appendix.

Table 16-2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through/Right | A | 0.0 | A | 0.0 |
| Southbound Left/Through | B | 10.6 | B | 11.3 |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | C | 15.1 | C | 15.6 |
| Southbound Through/Right | B | 13.7 | B | 12.1 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 17 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service
With Silver King Location - Alternative 4 With Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection |  | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |  |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver King Road/US 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A | 9.2 | A | 9.4 |  |
| Westbound Left | A | 8.7 | A | 8.7 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through/Right | C | 20.4 | C | 24.2 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through/Right | C | 19.6 | C | 19.4 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Figure 30 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3


## LEGEND:

$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
—_ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved

Figure 31 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Alternative Silver King Location - Alternative 4
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LEGEND:
$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
—_ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved

Figure 32 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
With Alternative Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


## LEGEND:

XX = Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
——_ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved


Figure 33 - 2022 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
With Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6


LEGEND:
$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
——_ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved

Figure 34 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3


## LEGEND:

XX = Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
——_ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved


Figure 35 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Silver King Location - Alternative 4


LEGEND:
$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips
Per Hour
—__ Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved
\#\#\#\#\# = Vehicles Per Day

Figure 36 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5


## LEGEND:

XX = Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
-_ = Existing Road, Paved ------ = Existing Road, Unpaved


Figure 37 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
With Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6


## LEGEND:


$X X=$ Friday Peak Hour Vehicle Trips Per Hour
—__ = Existing Road, Paved
------ = Existing Road, Unpaved

Table 18-2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection | 2022 Without Project <br> Friday Peak |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left/Right | B | 10.1 | B | 10.4 |
| Southbound Left | A | 7.9 | A | 7.9 |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.3 | A | 9.9 |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.6 | A | 7.6 |
| Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | N/A |  | A | 8.8 |
| Northbound Left/Through |  |  | A | 7.3 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 19-2022 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |  |
|  | LOS |  | Delay | LOS |  |
| ( Delay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.1 | A | 9.8 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.4 | A | 7.4 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 20-2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through/Right | A | 0.0 | A | 0.0 |
| Southbound Left/Through | B | 10.9 | B | 11.4 |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | C | 15.5 | C | 16.4 |
| Southbound Through/Right | B | 13.9 | B | 12.9 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 21 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection |  | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |  |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver King Road/US 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A | 9.5 | A | 9.7 |  |
| Westbound Left | A | 8.9 | A | 8.9 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through/Right | C | 24.6 | D | 27.7 |  |
| Southbound Left/Through/Right | C | 23.9 | C | 22.7 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 22 - 2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left/Right | B | 10.4 | B | 10.6 |
| Southbound Left | A | 7.9 | A | 8.0 |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.5 | A | 9.9 |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.6 | A | 7.6 |
| Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | N/A |  | A | 8.7 |
| Northbound Left/Through |  |  | A | 7.3 |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

Table 23-2027 Friday Peak Hour Levels of Service With Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection |  | 2022 Without Project |  | 2022 With Project |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Friday Peak |  | Friday Peak |  |  |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay |  |
| Un-Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left/Right | A | 9.2 | A | 9.8 |  |
| Northbound Left/Through | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |  |

Delay - seconds per vehicle, N/A - not available

As shown in Tables 16 through 23, the key study intersections adjacent to the TSF alternatives are expected to continue operating at an adequate LOS during both peak construction (2022) and peak operations (2027) with the project.

## Turn Lane Analysis

A key element of this traffic analysis is to determine if right or left turn lanes are required at the existing study intersections providing access to the TSF alternatives. The ADOT Traffic Engineering Guidelines and Processes (TGP) Section 245 - Turn Lane Warrants provides warrants for the inclusion of left and right turn lanes based on speed limit, through traffic volumes, and turning traffic volume during the peak hour.

When needed, turn lanes remove the slowing turning traffic from the through traffic stream, improving capacity. Tables 24 through 27 show the locations that were evaluated for left and right turn lanes based on existing, 2022 peak construction, and 2027 peak operations Friday peak hours.

Table 24 - Turn Lane Warrants, Near West Location - Alternatives 2 and 3

| Intersection | Direction | Turn Treatment Analyzed | Guidelines <br> Applied | Turn Treatments Warranted? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Existing | 2022 Peak Construction | 2027 Peak Operations |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound | Eastbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Eastbound | Eastbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound | Westbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Hewitt Station Road/US 60 Westbound | Westbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |

Table 25 - Turn Lane Warrants, Silver King Location - Alternative 4

| Intersection | Direction | Turn Treatment Analyzed | Guidelines Applied | Turn Treatments Warranted? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Existing | 2022 Peak Construction | 2027 Peak Operations |
| Silver King Road/US 60 | Eastbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Silver King Road/US 60 | Eastbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Silver King Road/US 60 | Westbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Silver King Road/US 60 | Westbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |

Table 26 - Turn Lane Warrants, Peg Leg Location - Alternative 5

| Intersection | Direction | Turn Treatment <br> Analyzed | Guidelines <br> Applied | Turn Treatments Warranted? |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing | 2022 Peak <br> Construction | 2027 Peak <br> Operations |  |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 | Northbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 79 | Southbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | Existing | Existing | Existing |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 | Northbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Florence-Kelvin Highway/SR 177 | Southbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway | Northbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Peg Leg Road/Florence-Kelvin Highway | Southbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |

Table 27 - Turn Lane Warrants, Skunk Camp Location - Alternative 6

| Intersection | Direction | Turn Treatment <br> Analyzed | Guidelines <br> Applied | Turn Treatments Warranted? |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2027 Peak <br> Operations |  |  |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 | Northbound | Left Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |
| Dripping Springs Road/SR 77 | Southbound | Right Turn Lane | ADOT | No | No | No |

Tables 24 through 27 show that no additional turn lanes are warranted at the study intersections for any of the TSF alternatives.

## Conclusion

The Resolution Copper Mine is considering an alternative location for their filter plant and several alternative locations for their tailings facility. No significant changes in trip generation are expected with the alternate filter plant when compared to the original TIA. Based on employment information provided by Resolution Copper, the Alternate 4 (Silver King) Tailings Storage Facility is the alternative expected to generate the most vehicle trips with 88 Friday peak hour vehicle trips expected during peak construction and 58 Friday peak hour vehicle trips expected during peak operations.

The key study intersections adjacent to the TSF alternatives are currently operating at an adequate LOS during the Friday peak hour and are anticipated to continue doing so in 2022 (peak construction) and 2027 (peak operations) without or with traffic from the TSF alternatives.

No additional turn lanes are warranted at the study intersections for any of the TSF alternatives.

The results outlined in this report are based upon an assumed peak construction year (2022) and peak operations year (2027). Economic conditions or the timing of the EIS approval process may shift these study horizon years. The conclusions of this report are not expected to change if the Resolution Copper Mine project experiences minor delays and the study area is not significantly impacted by major development.
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## APPENDIX

Traffic Counts

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:
(Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc.

## Project \#: _18-1154-001

TMC SUMMARY OF SR-79 \& Florence Kelvin Highway


| N-S STREET: | SR-79 | DATE: 03/23/18 | LOCATION: Florence |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-W STREET: Florence Kelvin Highway | DAY: FRIDAY | PROJ ECT\# | 18-1154-001 |  |
|  | NORTHBOUND | SOUTHBOUND | EASTBOUND | WESTBOUND |


|  | NL | NT | NR | SL | ST | SR | EL | ET | ER | WL | WT | WR | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANES: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 27 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 57 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 31 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 76 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 37 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 73 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 31 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 74 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 68 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 75 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 39 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 80 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 48 | 0 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 96 |
| 9:15 AM | 0 | 36 | 1 | 9 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 87 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 91 |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 53 | 0 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 113 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 35 | 1 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 91 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 41 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 105 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 62 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 124 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 108 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 56 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 134 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 37 | 0 | 9 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 92 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 34 | 1 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 88 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 44 | 1 | 10 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 101 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 110 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 39 | 1 | 11 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 120 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 36 | 1 | 6 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 93 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 40 | 0 | 14 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 107 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 36 | 3 | 7 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 92 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 49 | 0 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 105 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 47 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 103 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 37 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 109 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 50 | 3 | 6 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 116 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 42 | 1 | 11 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 120 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 61 | 1 | 10 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 136 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 38 | 0 | 8 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 98 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 34 | 1 | 18 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 106 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 51 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 129 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 43 | 0 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 111 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 40 | 2 | 13 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 107 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 17 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 117 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 36 | 0 | 8 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 105 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 39 | 1 | 8 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 106 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 34 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 88 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 34 | 0 | 16 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 111 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 23 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 85 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 0 | 16 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 116 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 0 | 17 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 92 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 31 | 1 | 15 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 102 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 25 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 88 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 61 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 82 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 81 |
| 7:15 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 64 |
| 7:30 PM | 0 | 21 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 57 |
| 7:45 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 45 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 51 |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 41 |
| 8:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 |
| 8:45 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| 9:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 34 |
| 9:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 28 |
| 9:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 |


| TOTAL | NL | NT | NR | SL | ST | SR | EL | ET | ER | WL | WT | WR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volumes | 0 | 1996 | 29 | 614 | 2042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 558 | 5260 |
| Approach \% | 0.00 | 98.57 | 1.43 | 23.12 | 76.88 | 0.00 | \#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\# | 3.63 | 0.00 | 96.37 |  |
| App/Depart | 2025 | / | 2554 | 2656 | / | 2063 | 0 | / | 643 | 579 | 1 | 0 |  |

AM Peak Hr Begins at: 145 PM
PEAK

| Volumes | 0 | 190 | 5 | 44 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 481 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach \% | 0.00 | 97.44 | 2.56 | 17.53 | 82.47 | 0.00 | $\# \# \# \#$ | $\# \# \# \#$ | $\# \# \# \#$ | 2.86 | 0.00 | 97.14 |  |

PEAK HR.
FACTOR: | 0.786 | 0.909 | $0.000 \quad 0.795$ |
CONTROL: 1-Way Stop (WB)
COMMENT 1:
GPS:
33.001859, -111.371226

Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona/Veracity Traffic Group (520) 316-6745
Volumes for: Friday, March 23, 2018
City: Florence
Project \#: 18-1154-003
Location: Florence Kelvin Highway \& SR-79


Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:
Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc.


| N-S STREET: | SR-177 | DATE: 03/23/18 | LOCATION: Kearny |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| E-W STREET: | Florence Kelvin Highway | DAY: FRIDAY | PROJ ECT\# | 18-1154-002 |
|  | NORTHBOUND | SOUTHBOUND | EASTBOUND | WESTBOUND |


|  | NL | NT | NR | SL | ST | SR | EL | ET | ER | WL | WT | WR | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANES: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 7:45 AM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 8:00 AM | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 8:30 AM | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 9:15 AM | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 10:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 10:15 AM | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 10:45 AM | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 11:00 AM | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 11:15 AM | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 11:45 AM | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 12:15 PM | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 12:30 PM | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 12:45 PM | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 1:00 PM | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 1:15 PM | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 1:30 PM | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2:00 PM | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 2:15 PM | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 2:30 PM | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 2:45 PM | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 3:00 PM | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 3:15 PM | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 3:30 PM | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 3:45 PM | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 6:00 PM | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 7:15 PM | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 7:30 PM | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 7:45 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 8:00 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:30 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 9:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 9:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 9:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| TOTAL | NL | NT | NR | SL | ST | SR | EL | ET | ER | WL | WT | WR | TOTAL |
| Volumes | 81 | 702 | 0 | 0 | 812 | 43 | 29 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1765 |
| Approach \% | 10.34 | 89.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.97 | 5.03 | 22.83 | 0.00 | 77.17 | \#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\# |  |
| App/Depart | 783 | 1 | 731 | 855 | 1 | 910 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 124 |  |

AM Peak Hr Begins at: 415 PM
PEAK
Volumes

Approach \% | 4 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 7.14 | 92.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.80 | 6.20 | 14.29 |

PEAK HR.
FACTOR: | $0.875 \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllllll} & 0.701 & \mid & 0.583 & \mid & 0.000 & \mid \\ 0.787 \mid\end{array}\right.$
CONTROL: 1-Way Stop (EB)
COMMENT 1:
GPS: $33.121474,-110.975332$

Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona/Veracity Traffic Group (520) 316-6745
Volumes for: Friday, March 23, 2018
City: Kearny
Project \#: 18-1154-004
Location: Florence Kelvin Highway \& SR-177

| AM Period |  |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  | PM Period | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:00 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 12:00 | 13 |  | 6 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 00:15 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 12:15 | 17 |  | 14 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 00:30 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 12:30 | 27 |  | 17 |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| 00:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 12:45 | 18 | 75 | 14 | 51 | 1 | 18 |  | 144 |
| 01:00 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 13:00 | 14 |  | 16 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 01:15 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 13:15 | 19 |  | 11 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 01:30 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 13:30 | 24 |  | 13 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 01:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 13:45 | 10 | 67 | 12 | 52 | 3 | 10 |  | 129 |
| 02:00 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 14:00 | 17 |  | 24 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 02:15 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 14:15 | 20 |  | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 02:30 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 14:30 | 16 |  | 18 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| 02:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 14:45 | 24 | 77 | 18 | 67 | 3 | 15 |  | 159 |
| 03:00 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 15:00 | 27 |  | 13 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| 03:15 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15:15 | 18 |  | 26 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 03:30 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 15:30 | 24 |  | 18 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 03:45 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 |  | 23 | 15:45 | 24 | 93 | 18 | 75 | 4 | 16 |  | 184 |
| 04:00 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 16:00 | 9 |  | 17 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 04:15 | 12 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 16:15 | 15 |  | 46 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 04:30 | 18 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 16:30 | 11 |  | 44 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 04:45 | 13 | 47 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 2 |  | 65 | 16:45 | 16 | 51 | 17 | 124 | 2 | 6 |  | 181 |
| 05:00 | 10 |  | 15 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 17:00 | 14 |  | 22 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 05:15 | 20 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 17:15 | 9 |  | 24 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 05:30 | 26 |  | 10 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 17:30 | 16 |  | 20 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 05:45 | 33 | 89 | 13 | 49 | 2 | 6 |  | 144 | 17:45 | 19 | 58 | 17 | 83 | 3 | 10 |  | 151 |
| 06:00 | 34 |  | 11 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 18:00 | 20 |  | 18 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 06:15 | 23 |  | 15 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 18:15 | 10 |  | 14 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 06:30 | 15 |  | 16 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 18:30 | 14 |  | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 06:45 | 12 | 84 | 4 | 46 | 1 | 8 |  | 138 | 18:45 | 8 | 52 | 15 | 61 | 1 | 6 |  | 119 |
| 07:00 | 18 |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 19:00 | 9 |  | 6 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 07:15 | 9 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 19:15 | 13 |  | 17 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 07:30 | 10 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 19:30 | 6 |  | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 07:45 | 6 | 43 | 11 | 29 | 0 | 2 |  | 74 | 19:45 | 3 | 31 | 20 | 53 | 0 | 3 |  | 87 |
| 08:00 | 17 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 20:00 | 7 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 08:15 | 8 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 20:15 | 4 |  | 8 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 08:30 | 14 |  | 16 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 20:30 | 5 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 08:45 | 10 | 49 | 7 | 31 | 5 | 11 |  | 91 | 20:45 | 2 | 18 | 11 | 37 | 0 | 3 |  | 58 |
| 09:00 | 6 |  | 10 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 21:00 | 1 |  | 9 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 09:15 | 22 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 21:15 | 2 |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 09:30 | 8 |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 21:30 | 2 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 09:45 | 9 | 45 | 11 | 38 | 1 | 5 |  | 88 | 21:45 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 31 | 2 | 2 |  | 40 |
| 10:00 | 6 |  | 19 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 22:00 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10:15 | 19 |  | 12 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 22:15 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:30 | 12 |  | 21 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 22:30 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:45 | 13 | 50 | 17 | 69 | 1 | 12 |  | 131 | 22:45 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 3 |  | 24 |
| 11:00 | 21 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 23:00 | 1 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 11:15 | 17 |  | 11 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 23:15 | 0 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11:30 | 12 |  | 18 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 23:30 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 11:45 | 17 | 67 | 15 | 54 | 2 | 8 |  | 129 | 23:45 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 1 |  | 24 |
| Total Vol. |  | 491 |  | 348 |  | 56 |  | 895 |  |  | 539 |  | 668 |  | 93 |  | 1300 |
| GPS Coordinates |  | 33.121474, -110.975332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daily Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1030 |  | 1016 |  | 149 |  | 2195 |
|  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Split \% |  | 54.9\% |  | 38.9\% |  | 6.3\% |  | 40.8\% |  |  | 41.5\% |  | 51.4\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 59.2\% |
| Peak Hour |  | 05:30 |  | 10:00 |  | 11:45 |  | 05:30 |  |  | 14:45 |  | 16:15 |  | 14:30 |  | 15:45 |
| Volume |  | 116 |  | 69 |  | 19 |  | 176 |  |  | 93 |  | 129 |  | 19 |  | 192 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.85 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.43 |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.79 |

Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona/Veracity Traffic Group (520) 316-6745
Volumes for: Friday, March 23, 2018
City: Florence
Project \#: 18-1154-005
Location: Florence Kelvin Highway at Peg Leg Rd.


# FILTER PLANT AND TAILINGS FACILITY ALTERNATIVES RESOLUTION COPPER MINE PROJECT <br> TRAFFIC TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 

## APPENDIX

Trip Generation Calculations

|  |  | DEIS Alternatives (Averages) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCB OOM | Alt 2 | Alt 3 | Alt 4 | Alt 5 | Alt 6 |
| Totals | 44 | 106 | 106 | 169 | 112 | 106 |
| Administrative Assistant/Others | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Senior Engineer | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Engineer | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Technicians | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Superintendent | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Supervisor | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Maintenance Superintendents | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| M\&I Supervisor | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Maintenance Planners | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Electrician | 4 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Electrical/Instrument Tech | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Mechanic | 8 | 13 | 13 | 25 | 13 | 13 |
| Non-Skilled | 8 | 34 | 34 | 29 | 32 | 34 |
| Skilled (includes equip operators) | 8 | 38 | 38 | 78 | 45 | 38 |
| Contractors for Dam Build | not included | included | included | N/A | included | included |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| People on site M-F Days |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| People on site Day Shift 12 hrs/7 days |  | 33 | 33 | 48 | 35 | 33 |
| People on site Night Shift 12 hrs/7 days |  | 17 | 17 | 35 | 18 | 17 |

DEIS Alternatives
Alt 1 - No Action
Alt 2 - Near West Location. Slurry tailings, unlined/no PAG cell, modified centerline dam
Alt 3 - Near West Location. Slurry/thin lift, lined PAG cell, modified centerline dam
Alt 4 - Silver King Location. Filtered tailings, lined PAG dam
Alt 5 - Peg Leg Location. Slurry tailings, lined PAG cell/other selective lining, true centerline dam
Alt 6 - Skunk Camp Location. Slurry tailings, lined PAG cell, true centerline dam

# FILTER PLANT AND TAILINGS FACILITY ALTERNATIVES RESOLUTION COPPER MINE PROJECT <br> TRAFFIC TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 

## APPENDIX

Capacity Calculations








| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | r |  | $\uparrow$ |  | a | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 34 | 190 | 5 | 44 | 207 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 34 | 190 | 5 | 44 | 207 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 225 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 43 | 224 | 6 | 52 | 244 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 575 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 227 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 348 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 480 | 812 | - | - | 1338 | - |
| Stage 1 | 811 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 715 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 461 | 812 | - | - | 1338 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 461 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 779 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 715 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.8 |  | 0 |  | 1.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 795 | 1338 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.055 | 0.039 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 9.8 | 7.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | -1 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1008 | 1081 | 1619 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1020 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1014 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1008 | 1081 | 1619 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 1008 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1020 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1014 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1619 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |








| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | \% | 中t |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 495 | 9 | 5 | 661 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 495 | 9 | 5 | 661 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | . | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 582 | 11 | 6 | 734 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 10 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 37 | 206 | 6 | 48 | 224 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 37 | 206 | 6 | 48 | 224 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 225 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 46 | 242 | 7 | 56 | 264 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 622 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 246 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 376 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 450 | 793 | - | - | 1317 | - |
| Stage 1 | 795 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 694 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 431 | 793 | - | - | 1317 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 431 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 761 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 694 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.1 |  | 0 |  | 1.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 760 | 1317 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.064 | 0.043 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.1 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $l l l l l l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor N | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 242 | 160 | 165 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 160 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 746 | 885 | 1413 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 869 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 941 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 743 | 885 | 1413 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 743 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 866 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 941 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.3 |  | 0.6 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1413 | - | 849 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 |  | 0.013 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | 9.3 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | A | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | -1 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1005 | 1080 | 1618 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1019 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1013 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1005 | 1080 | 1618 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 1005 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1019 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1013 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | B |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1618 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |









| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | F |  | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | l | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 41 | 227 | 7 | 53 | 247 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 41 | 227 | 7 | 53 | 247 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 225 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 51 | 267 | 8 | 62 | 291 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 686 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 271 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 415 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 413 | 768 | - | - | 1288 | - |
| Stage 1 | 775 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 666 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 393 | 768 | - | - | 1288 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 393 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 738 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 666 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.4 |  | 0 |  | 1.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 721 | 1288 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.076 | 0.048 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.4 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | -1 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 16 | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1002 | 1078 | 1616 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1018 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1012 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1002 | 1078 | 1616 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 1002 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1018 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1012 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | B |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL NBT EBLn1 |  |  | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1616 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 28 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 28 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - |  | 16979 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 33 | 558 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 50 | 206 | 8 | 61 | 224 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 50 | 206 | 8 | 61 | 224 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 225 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 63 | 242 | 9 | 72 | 264 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 655 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 247 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 408 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 431 | 792 | - | - | 1314 | - |
| Stage 1 | 794 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 671 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 407 | 792 | - | - | 1314 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 407 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 750 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 671 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.4 |  | 0 |  | 1.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 740 | 1314 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.091 | 0.055 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.4 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | -1 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 5 | 5 | 77 | 73 | 32 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 5 | 5 | 77 | 73 | 32 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 39 | 6 | 6 | 91 | 86 | 38 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | F |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - |  | 16979 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 25 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 㻢 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | , | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 731 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 26 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | r |  | $\uparrow$ |  | T | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 50 | 227 | 8 | 62 | 247 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 50 | 227 | 8 | 62 | 247 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 225 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 63 | 267 | 9 | 69 | 274 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 684 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 272 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 412 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 414 | 767 | - | - | 1287 | - |
| Stage 1 | 774 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 669 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 392 | 767 | - | - | 1287 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 392 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 732 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 669 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.6 |  | 0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 716 | 1287 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.094 | 0.054 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.6 | 8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor N | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 280 | 184 | 197 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 184 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 96 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 710 | 858 | 1376 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 848 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 928 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 705 | 858 | 1376 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 705 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 842 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 928 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.9 |  | 0.8 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1376 | - | 783 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.006 |  | 0.054 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | 9.9 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | A | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | -1 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 24 | 5 | 5 | 85 | 81 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 24 | 5 | 5 | 85 | 81 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 30 | 6 | 6 | 100 | 95 | 29 |



