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1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The United States Forest Service, Tonto National Forest (TNF) is analyzing alternatives for a tailings storage facility (TSF) as part of the comprehensive review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Resolution Mine plan of operations and land exchange (the Project). Five TSF alternatives are currently under analysis (Alternatives 2 through 6; Figure 1). Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 are located primarily on lands managed by the U.S. Forest Service, Alternative 5 is located primarily on lands administered by the ASLD and Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and Alternative 6 is located primarily on privately-owned lands and lands administered by the Arizona State Land Department (ASLD).

This memorandum has been prepared to document the extent of ordinary high water mark (OHWM), aquatic features (seeps and springs), and potential WOTUS for comparative analysis between the five TSF alternative footprints. This analysis focuses specifically on the ephemeral wash systems within each of the alternative footprints, based largely on a desktop review of high quality, recent aerial photographs (with the exception of Alternatives 2 and 3 for which a formal AJD was completed [SPL-2014-00064-MWL]). Aquatic features such as seeps and springs within the TSF footprint has also been included for comparative purposes.

2. METHODS

The focus of this analysis was the preliminary identification and mapping of the OHWM in surface water features within the TSF footprints.1 The extent of the OHWM in the surface water features for Alternatives 4, 5, and 6 was delineated in ArcGIS using publicly available aerial photography2 and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographical maps. Additionally, based on information provided in Montgomery & Associates 2017, 2018a, 2018b, and 2018c, seeps and springs within each TSF footprint was also included for comparison.

WestLand Resources, Inc. (WestLand), then compared the impacts associated with the five alternatives, based on overall surface disturbances and the presence and quantity of potential WOTUS.

---

1 In potentially jurisdictional non-wetland WOTUS, Corps regulations establish the lateral extent of federal jurisdiction using the OHWM. The OHWM is defined at 33 CFR Part 328.3(e) as “that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.”

2 Although no field verification of the delineation was conducted and the final determination of the linear and lateral extent of WOTUS would be the decision of the Corps, the quality of the aerial photography used in this analysis is such that WestLand is relatively confident in the delineations of the OHWM.
3. RESULTS

The extent of potential WOTUS within the alternatives is presented in Figures 2 through 6. The estimated impacts to potential WOTUS within each of the TSF footprints is provided in Table 1. Indirect impacts, considered by the Corps from the ‘dewatering’ of downgradient reaches through upgradient fills, have not been estimated. Indirect impacts are generally considered to extend from the point of fill down to the confluence with the next substantial drainage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Surface Disturbance (ac)</th>
<th>Area of Mapped OHWM (ac)</th>
<th>Linear Mapped OHWM (ft)</th>
<th>Impacts to Potential WOTUS (ac)</th>
<th>Seeps and Springs within the TSF Footprint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>3309</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>121,594</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 3</td>
<td>3308</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>125,444</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 4</td>
<td>2266</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>237,351</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 5</td>
<td>5889</td>
<td>182.5</td>
<td>759,064</td>
<td>182.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 6</td>
<td>4002</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>395,215</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Alternative 4, 5, and 6 have not been evaluated for the potential presence of special aquatic sites (i.e., wetlands). The Corps has determined there are no WOTUS, including special aquatic sites, at Alternatives 2 and 3 (Near West Analysis Area). Because Alternative 4 is upgradient of the Near West Analysis Area, no WOTUS are anticipated at Alternative 4.

4. DISCUSSION

This analysis provides a preliminary, comparative analysis of OHWM, seeps and springs, and potential WOTUS for all five TSF alternative sites.
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VICINITY MAP
Figure 1
An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) request for the Near West Analysis Area was submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and the Corps determined that there are no Waters of the United States at this site (SPS-2014-00064-MWL). This AJD expires on March 6, 2020. Alternative 2 is located within the Near West Analysis Area.
An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) request for the Near West Analysis Area was submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and the Corps determined that there are no Waters of the United States at this site (SP-2014-00064-MWL). This AJD expires on March 6, 2020. Alternative 3 is located within the Near West Analysis Area.
An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) request for the Near West Analysis Area was submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and the Corps determined that there are no Waters of the United States at this site (SPL-2014-00064-MWL). This AJD expires on March 6, 2020. Alternative 4 is located upgradient from the Near West Analysis Area so the washes within the Alternative 4 footprint are likely not currently considered WOTUS, though a formal determination has not been made.
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